

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL
SOUTHERN ZONE, CHENNAI**

Application No. 85 of 2015 (SZ)

Applicant(s)

Respondent(s)

Dr. Lubna Sarwath
Co-Convenor
Save Our Urban Lakes
Hyderabad

Vs. The State of Telangana, rep. by its
Secretary to Government, Telangana
Secretariat, Hyderabad-500022 & ors.

Legal Practitioners for Applicant(s)
M/s. T. Mohan and
A. Yogeshwaran

Legal practitioners for respondent(s)
Smt. Yasmeen Ali for R-1 and R-3
Mr. Govindaraj for R-2
Mr. Sai Krishnan for R-4

Note of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
Order No.	<p>Date: 12th August, 2015</p> <p>The counsel for the parties are present. The counsel for the 4th respondent filed reply this day. One of the Expert Committee Members Prof. Dr. Mohan is present. According to him, though certain documents were called for from the 1st respondent, State of Telangana, they were not yet produced. He would further add that the first inspection was made on 25.7.2015 and the second inspection is scheduled on 21st and 22nd August, 2015 by which the inspection work would be over and they would file the report.</p> <p>It is pertinent to point out that in the last hearing it is brought to the notice of the Tribunal that the documents which were called for by the Committee were not produced and on coming to know this the Tribunal has made a specific direction to the 1st respondent to produce the</p>

documents required by the Committee for the execution of the Commission work. But even now it has not been produced. It would be indicative that the non-production of documents would cast in the minds of the Tribunal whether it has been purposely delayed. However, it is made clear that at-least before the next inspection of the Committee, which is scheduled to take place on 21st and 22nd August, 2015, the 1st respondent shall produce all the required documents which according to the Professor Dr. Mohan, were enlisted for production, thereby enabling the Committee to complete the work and file the report.

It is noticed that the counsel for the respondents 1 to 3 have not filed their reply despite the fact that they have got number of adjournments that too in the matter like this which has already been dealt by the Hon'ble High Court at Hyderabad and Hon'ble Apex Court. Under the circumstances, it is made clear that if the reply is not filed by the respondents 1 to 3 in the next hearing, each respondent has to pay a cost of Rs. 1 lakh. For filing reply of the respondents 1 to 3, the matter is posted to 25.8.2015.

P.S. Rao
(Expert Member)

Justice M. Chockalingam
(Judicial Member)